UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,200	02/03/2006	Johannes J. Van Vaals	2003P02233WOUS	9601	
38107 7590 10/28/2011 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P. O. Box 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			REARDON, ROCHELLE D		
DRIARCLITT	DRIARCLIFF MANOR, NT 10310		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3777		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

Evaminar Initiated Interview Summary	10/567,200	0/567,200 VAN VAALS, JOHANNES J.				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROCHELLE REARDON	3777				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>ROCHELLE REARDON</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Tom Kocovsky</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>17 October 2011</u> .						
Type: Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: applicant [applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.					
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Othe (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail						
Claim(s) discussed: 11,21 and 22.						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)						
Examiner suggested to Applicant, amending independent claims 11 and 22 to include the limitations of dependent claims 23 and 24, respectively. Examiner also suggested including the limitation regarding merging a less distorted region of a first and second magnetic resonance image of allowable claim 2 into the independent claims, and to include these limitations into independent claim 21, as well, to overcome the prior art of record. Applicant said that the suggestions would be taken into consideration and a supplemental amendment would be entered.						
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.						
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.						
☐ Attachment						
	/Tse Chen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Ur	nit 3777				

Application No.

Applicant(s)